

Attendance of the January 21, 2004 GMAC Meeting
(based on sign-in sheet)

Name	Agency
Baldwin, Hon. Harry	City of San Gabriel
Caldwell, Don	Union Pacific Railroad
Calix, Robert	LACMTA
Carpenter, Jeff	City of Los Angeles Community Redevelopment Agency
Cartwright, Kerry	Port of Long Beach
Catz, Sarah	Golden State Gateway Coalition
Daniels, Hon. Gene	City of Paramount
DiCamillo, LaDonna	BNSF
Dorland, Kanya	Port of Los Angeles
Goodwin, Art	ACTA
Hicks, Gill	Gill V. Hicks and Associates
Kumar, Vin	Caltrans District 7
Lai, Sue	Port of Los Angeles
Mulchand, Sharad	LACMTA
Neely, Sharon	ACE Construction Authority
Pettis, Hon. Greg	City of Cathedral City
Proo, Hon. Beatrice	City of Pico Rivera
Rodriguez, Dilara	Caltrans
Smith, Steve	SANBAG
White, Nancy	California Highway Patrol
Wilson, A.J.	Pomona Valley Ed. Foundation

SCAG Staff

Griffin, Mark
Haven, Alan
Keynejad, Charles
Wong, Philbert

**GOODS MOVEMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES
WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 21, 2004**

1.0 CALL TO ORDER

Councilmember Gene Daniels, City of Paramount, called the meeting to order. A list of those in attendance is included in the minutes.

2.0 PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

There were no public comments.

3.0 CONSENT CALENDAR

Approval Items

3.1.1 Approval of the December 17, 2003 Minutes

Action: Motion to approve the minutes was seconded and approved with no objections.

4.0 INFORMATION ITEMS

4.1 Report on Transportation Conformity Measures (TCMs)

Mr. Charles Keynejad, SCAG, presented this item. He provided a list to the committee of the goods movement related TCM (Transportation Control Measure) and non-TCM projects. He also noted that emission reduction credit has been claimed for these projects in the Regional Air Quality Attainment Demonstration for 2010 in the South Coast Air Basin.

Councilmember Harry Baldwin, City of San Gabriel, noted that the San Gabriel Valley COG is listed as the lead agency for two projects when in fact the COG is not. The two projects are LA0C56 and LA0C57, grade crossing projects in Santa Fe Springs and Pico Rivera.

Mr. Gill Hicks, Gill Hicks and Associates, asked whether or not ONTRAC grade separation projects in Orange County were considered to be TCM projects. Also, it was noted that the project list lists all projects as being in Los Angeles County, when in fact some are in Riverside and San Bernardino counties.

4.2 Report on the Gerald Desmond Bridge Project

This item was not reported on.

4.3 Report on the proposed Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (TEA LU) legislation

Ms. Charlotte Eckelbecker, SCAG staff, presented this item. She first noted that the five month extension of TEA-21 (Transportation Equity Act of the 21st Century) expires on February 29. House leaders would like to mark up TEA LU (H.R. 3550), the first or second week of February. If the markup is completed, Chairman Young expects the bill to reach the House floor the last week of February. Before this happens, however, the House Ways and Means Committee must provide the revenue title that addresses how the bill will be financed.

Mark Griffin, SCAG staff, then presented a summary of the three bills currently proposed: TEA LU, the Bush Administration's SAFETEA (Safe, Accountable, Flexible, and Efficient Transportation Equity Act of 2003) proposal, and the Senate Environment and Public Works SAFETEA proposal. The total authorization for TEA LU, the Bush Administration's SAFETEA proposal, and the Senate Environment and Public Works SAFETEA proposal are \$375, \$247, and \$311 billion, respectively.

The three reauthorization bills each contain funding categories which would benefit goods movement. For example, the three bills contain the Border Planning, Operations, and Technology (Coordinated Border Infrastructure Program in TEA LU) program and the Freight Intermodal Connector program. Both SAFETEA bills also call for the establishment of a state Freight Transportation Coordinator position. TEA LU contains two additional goods movement funding categories not appearing in either SAFETEA bill, which are the Projects of National Significance (\$17.6 billion) and Dedicated Truck Lanes (\$2 billion). A question was asked as to what these programs mean to California, in terms of specific funding amounts. This will be determined as the funding programs become more fully defined.

The consensus of the committee is to develop a goods movement resolution that will examine the three reauthorization bills currently under consideration and the goods movement components in each one. The resolution will then highlight those elements in each bill that are favorable to goods movement, and provide an explanation as to why the committee supports those elements. Staff will work on drafting this resolution and will return to the committee with an update at the next meeting.

5.0 COMMENT PERIOD

There were no comments.

6.0 NEXT MEETING

The next regular GMAC meeting will be:
Wednesday, February 18, 2004
9:30am-11:00am
SCAG Offices, San Bernardino Conference Rooms A&B

7.0 ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 11:00am.